

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/667,798	09/19/2003	Glenn Ratificar	P16916	9572	
28062	7590 12/15/2005		EXAM	INER	
BUCKLEY, MASCHOFF, TALWALKAR LLC 5 ELM STREET			ZARNEKE,	ZARNEKE, DAVID A	
	AN, CT 06840		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2891		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			W
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	y
	10/667,798	RATIFICAR ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David A. Zarneke	2891	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time Till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Seconds</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Executive Executive Condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Executive Executive Condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Executive Condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Executive Condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Executive Condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Executive Condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Executive Condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Executive Condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Executive Condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Executive Condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Executive Condition for all the conditions fo	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 12. **The oath of the confidence of the confi	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been receivéd in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule-17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

The previous restriction is hereby withdrawn and a new restriction is detailed below.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- 1. Claims 1-12, drawn to a method, classified in class 438, subclass 109.
- II. Claims 13-23, drawn to a device, classified in class 257, subclass 778.
- III. Claims 24-29, drawn to a device, classified in class 702, subclass 1+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. For example, the underfill could be applied to the second die instead of the first die.

Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by

another and materially different process. For example, the underfill could be applied to the second die instead of the first die.

Inventions II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because some claims include limitation to the specific underfill. The subcombination has separate utility such as a microprocessor that does not require, or uses a different, double data rate memory.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Group I Species:

- a. applying energy to
 - i. electrically couple the 1st and 2nd contacts; or

Application/Control Number: 10/667,798 Page 4

Art Unit: 2891

ii. flux the 1st and 2nd contacts.

b. length and width

i. a length and a width of the second integrated circuit die are substantially equal to a length and a width of the first integrated circuit die; or

ii a length of the second integrated circuit die is greater than a length of the first integrated circuit die, and wherein a width of the second integrated circuit die is greater than a width of the first integrated circuit die.

Group II Species:

- a. second underfill:
 - i. no-flow underfill; or
 - ii. capillary flow underfill.
- b. length and width
- i. a length and a width of the second integrated circuit die are substantially equal to a length and a width of the first integrated circuit die; or
 - ii a length of the second integrated circuit die is greater than a length of the first integrated circuit die, and wherein a width of the second integrated circuit die is greater than a width of the first integrated circuit die.

Group III Species:

a. length and width

i. a length and a width of the second integrated circuit die are substantially equal to a length and a width of the first integrated circuit die; or

ii a length of the second integrated circuit die is greater than a length of the first integrated circuit die, and wherein a width of the second integrated circuit die is greater than a width of the first integrated circuit die.

Page 5

A complete response must include and election of one of Group I, II or II and a species election of a. or b. from the elected Group.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A. Zarneke whose telephone number is (571)-272-1937. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30 AM-6 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Baumeister can be reached on (571)-272-1722. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/667,798 Page 7

Art Unit: 2891

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866,217-9197 (toll-free).

- ///

David A. ∠arneke Primary Examiner

December 9, 2005